High-altitude closed magnetic loops at Mars observed by MAVEN
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Abstract.

With electron and magnetic field data obtained by the Mars Atmosphere and Volatile EvolutioN (MAVEN) spacecraft, we have identified closed magnetic field lines, with both footpoints embedded in the dayside ionosphere, extending up to 6200 km altitude into the Martian tail. This topology is deduced from photoelectrons produced in the dayside ionosphere being observed traveling both parallel and anti-parallel to the magnetic field. At trapped-zone pitch angles (within a range centered on 90° where electrons magnetically reflect before interacting with the atmosphere), cases with either solar wind electrons or photoelectrons have been found, indicating different formation mechanisms for these closed loops. These large closed loops are present in MHD simulations. The case with field-aligned photoelectrons mixed with solar wind electrons having trapped-zone pitch angles is likely to be associated with reconnection, while the case with photoelectrons at all pitch angles is probably due to closed field lines being pulled tailward by the surrounding plasma flow. By utilizing an algorithm for distinguishing photoelectrons from solar wind electrons in pitch angle resolved energy spectra, we systematically map the spatial distribution and occurrence rate of these closed magnetic loops over the region sampled by the MAVEN orbit. We find that the
occurrence rate ranges from a few percent to a few tens of percent outside of the optical shadow and less than one percent within the shadow. These observations can be used to investigate the general magnetic topology in the tail, which is relevant to cold ion escape, reconnection, and flux ropes.
Keypoints:

- Two types of thousand-kilometer closed magnetic loops are identified in the Martian tail for the first time
- The occurrence rate of these closed loops is determined to range from a few tenths to a few tens percent
- These observations improve our understandings of Mars tail magnetic topology, cold ion escape, and reconnection
1. Introduction

With intense localized crustal magnetic fields [e.g. Acuna et al., 1999; Connerney et al., 2005], Mars presents a complex obstacle to the solar wind, with both the ionosphere and crustal fields playing significant roles in the interaction. This is combined with an extended H and O corona, which begins to mass load the solar wind upstream of the bow shock. One result of this Mars-solar wind interaction is a complicated and dynamic magnetic topology [e.g. Brain et al., 2003, 2007; Liemohn et al., 2006a; Lillis and Brain, 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Steckiewicz et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2016a, 2017; Weber et al., 2017], which influences many aspects of the Mars plasma environment, such as reconnection [e.g. Halekas et al., 2006, 2009; Harada et al., 2015, 2017], the induced magnetosphere configuration [e.g. Luhmann et al., 2015; DiBraccio et al., 2015, 2017; Xu et al., 2016b; Liemohn et al., 2017; Garnier et al., 2017], planetary pickup ion transport [Fang et al., 2008, e.g.], energetic electron precipitation [e.g. Fillingim et al., 2007; Lillis et al., 2009; Lillis and Brain, 2013; Xu et al., 2014; Shane et al., 2016], and auroral emission [e.g. Bertaux et al., 2005; Brain et al., 2006; Liemohn et al., 2007; Leblanc et al., 2008; Schneider et al., 2015; Shane et al., 2016].

Strong crustal fields rotate with the planet, therefore magnetic topology is expected to be complex and dynamic. This complexity has been investigated with numerical models [e.g. Brecht, 1997; Brecht and Ledvina, 2006; Kallio et al., 2006; Ma and Nagy, 2007; Bößwetter et al., 2007; Harnett and Winglee, 2007; Ledvina et al., 2008; Terada et al., 2009; Brain et al., 2010; Fang et al., 2015; Dong et al., 2015a; Modolo et al., 2016]. Magnetic topology is one aspect of the system that is predicted by the models [e.g. Luhmann et al., 2017].
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MAVEN provides the opportunity to experimentally test and inform the models. This work addresses one aspect of the topology, namely closed magnetic loops that extend 2.8 Mars radii ($R_m$) into the tail (here defined as the region within the magnetic pileup boundary and downstream of the terminator plane). This particular picture of the topology is important because it tells us about both magnetosphere-like aspects of the Mars obstacle to the solar wind, as well as the influence of reconnection processes, both of which can produce closed loops in the wake.

Above the superthermal electron exobase ($\sim$ 160 km, [Xu et al., 2016c]), electrons with energies $\sim$ 1 eV experience few collisions with the neutral atmosphere and/or the thermal ionosphere, and are thus constrained to gyrate about magnetic field lines on helical trajectories. Their fast motion ($\sim$ 1 $R_m$/sec) and small gyroradii ($<$ few km) make them excellent tracers of magnetic topology. Brain et al. [2007] used pitch angle distributions to deduce the magnetic topology at 400 km with data from the Magnetometer/Electron Reflectometer (MAG/ER) instrument [Acuña et al., 1992; Mitchell et al., 2001] onboard Mars Global Surveyor (MGS). The key of this method is that the presence of a loss cone indicates that the field line intersects the collisional atmosphere where the loss cone is formed. Another method to infer topology is to utilize the electron energy spectra to identify the plasma source regions sampled by the field line at large distances from the spacecraft. For example, the presence of ionospheric photoelectrons, identified by characteristic features at $\sim$ 23 and $\sim$ 60 eV, indicates that the field line intersects the dayside ionosphere [e.g. Liemohn et al., 2006b; Frahm et al., 2006; Liemohn et al., 2006a; Frahm et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2014; Shane et al., 2016]. This technique has also been used to determine magnetic connectivity to the dayside ionospheres of Venus and Titan [e.g.
Coates et al., 2007, 2011; Wellbrock et al., 2012]. However, as noted in Xu et al. [2016c], it cannot be used to deduce the magnetic topology below source/loss altitudes, where collisions dominate electrons’ motion, so we define a “footpoint” as the location where a magnetic field line intersects the superthermal electron exobase (∼ 160 km).

Previously, ionospheric photoelectrons observed at high altitudes in the tail were interpreted as an indicator of open field lines connected to the dayside ionosphere [e.g. Liemohn et al., 2006a; Frahm et al., 2010]. In these studies, electron measurements could not be mapped into pitch angle because of the lack of simultaneous magnetic field measurements. Thus, there was no means of distinguishing a closed loop from an open field line embedded in the day-side ionosphere. While it was reasonable to associate these tail photoelectron observations with open field lines, since it is a more common configuration, it was not possible to explore other possible topologies.

Xu et al. [2017] was able to use pitch angle-resolved electron energy spectra from MAVEN to more accurately deduce the magnetic topology. Specifically, Xu et al. [2017] designed a shape parameter, a dimensionless number, that measures the deviation of a given electron energy spectrum from that of a canonical ionospheric photoelectron spectrum, which has discrete features at 23 and 27 eV due to ionization of CO$_2$ by the solar He-II emission line at 30.4 nm, and at 60 eV due to a sharp drop in solar irradiance at wavelengths shorter than 17 nm [e.g. Mitchell et al., 2000; Liemohn et al., 2003; Frahm et al., 2006; Peterson et al., 2016]. Small values indicate that the population is dominated by ionospheric photoelectrons; large values indicate that the population is of solar wind origin. Since both populations can be present simultaneously over a given pitch angle range, the shape parameter can have a continues range of values. Xu et al. [2017]
found that a value less than unity allows us to confidently identify the presence of ionospheric photoelectrons, which means that the field line must sample the dayside ionosphere. This parameter can be calculated separately for parallel (source/loss cone pitch angles), anti-parallel (also source/loss cone pitch angles) and perpendicular (trapped-zone pitch angles) populations. With simultaneous magnetic field measurements by the Magnetometer (MAG) [Connerney et al., 2015], parallel and anti-parallel populations can be determined to have a velocity component pointing toward or away from Mars. Based on shape parameters of populations in each pitch angle range, Xu et al. [2017] mapped out the 3-dimensional magnetic topology below 1000 km. For example, a typical indication of a closed field line is the presence of photoelectrons (shape parameter $\beta_1$) traveling both toward and away from the planet, which implies that both footpoints are embedded in the ionosphere. In contrast, photoelectrons (shape parameter $\beta_1$) traveling away from Mars and solar wind electrons (shape parameter $\beta_1$) traveling toward Mars would indicate an open field line, as the field line has access to electron source regions in both the ionosphere and the solar wind.

With such a technique, we can determine the magnetic topologies associated with tail photoelectron observations, which is the topic of this study. The Mars Atmosphere and Volatile EvolutioN (MAVEN) spacecraft [Jakosky et al., 2015a] carries a comprehensive set of particle and field instruments. With the data from the Solar Wind Electron Analyzer (SWEA) [Mitchell et al., 2016] and MAG, we here report observations that likely result from large closed loops with both footpoints embedded in the dayside ionosphere as noted earlier. These observations help to improve our understanding of Mars’ tail magnetic topology, cold ion escape ($\sim 1$ eV), and reconnection.
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2. Case Studies of High-Altitude Closed Loops

MAVEN is in a 75-degree-inclination orbit with periapsis and apoapsis altitudes of \( \sim 150 \) and \( \sim 6200 \) km. The orbit precesses in local time and latitude, so that during the first two years, the orbit swept across the tail five times over a range of altitudes. Two different categories of high-altitude closed magnetic field lines have been found in the tail, as defined by the electron populations observed over different pitch angle ranges: 1) photoelectrons in both parallel and anti-parallel directions with a trapped population of solar wind electrons, and 2) only photoelectrons in all directions. In this section, examples of these two types of observations will be examined.

2.1. Case 1: Mixed Electron Populations

Figure 1 shows a time series of spacecraft altitude (a), magnetic field magnitude (b) and components in the Mars Solar Orbital (MSO) frame (c) measured by MAG, pitch angle distributions (PAD) of 111 – 140 eV electrons (d), and electron energy spectra (e) measured by SWEA, and pitch angle-resolved shape parameters (f) on Feb. 14, 2016. The MSO frame is centered on Mars, with X pointing towards the Sun, Y opposite to Mars’ orbital angular velocity, and Z perpendicular to the orbital plane. Panel (g) shows the orbit geometry in MSO cylindrical frame. Panel (h) illustrates the SWEA observations for the first category: both field-aligned electron populations (blue and red spectra) are dominated by ionospheric photoelectrons, as indicated by the He II peak and the sharp decrease of electron fluxes near 60 eV. In contrast, the energy spectra for non-field aligned electrons lack of these features, indicating that they are of solar wind origin. The shape parameters [Xu et al., 2017] for the directions toward and away from Mars are shown as the green and red lines in Figure 1f, respectively. The timing of the spectra in panel
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h is indicated by the vertical dashed line. Note that the shape parameters for both
field-aligned populations dip below 1 at this time, indicating the presence of ionospheric
photoelectrons, consistent with the energy spectra.

The observation in Figure 1h, with ionospheric photoelectrons traveling both parallel
(red) and anti-parallel (blue) to the magnetic field, corresponding to shape parameters
< 1 in Figure 1f, indicates a closed field line with both footpoints intersecting the dayside
ionosphere. In this study, the dayside ionosphere refers to the sunlit hemisphere, instead
of $X_{MSO} > 0$. These two spectra (the blue and red spectra in Figure 1h) differ at low
energies, implying that the two footpoints of this field line embedded at different local
times/solar zenith angles [e.g. Xu et al., 2015a, 2016c]. This observation is made at 2248
km altitude and $X_{MSO} = -1.3$ $R_m$. It suggests a large closed loop extending more than
1 $R_m$ in size. The presence of solar wind electrons in the perpendicular pitch angles (the
green spectrum in Figure 1h) suggests the field line was once open and had access to
the solar wind. For this particular event, the spacecraft was observing closed field lines
for roughly 1 minute, which translates to $\sim$180 km along the orbit track. According to
the pitch angle distributions and energy spectra, the surrounding regions of these closed
loops are populated by draped field lines, as no apparent loss cones or photoelectrons were
observed. This information provides context for the formation of these closed loops.

2.2. Case 2: Photoelectrons Only

For case 2, we have chosen an orbit on Oct. 24, 2015 (Figure 2). In Figure 2h, the pho-
toelectron spectral features are seen in all three pitch angle ranges, and electron energy
fluxes are about the same in each direction, indicating an isotropic distribution. Again,
photoelectrons in both field-aligned directions indicate a closed field line with both foot-
points intersecting the dayside ionosphere. The trapped population is also photoelectrons, implying the field line has remained closed, with no access to solar wind, long enough for the perpendicular pitch angles to be populated with photoelectrons through pitch angle scattering. This observation is made at 2550 km in altitude and $X_{MSO} = -1.5 \ R_m$, indicating a large closed loop extending downstream of the planet. In addition, in Figure 2e, a faint He II line can be seen from 17:30 UT to 18:40 UT, and in Figure 2f, the shape parameters for both directions are mostly below 1. Despite the uncertainty due to incomplete pitch angle coverage (Figure 2d), it is likely that the spacecraft is passing through a region of closed field lines from periapsis to 3000 km in altitude.

3. Physical Interpretation

The Mars magnetic environment includes both “open” and “closed” field lines, whose identities change as the planet rotates (e.g. Ma et al. [2014]; Fang et al. [2015]) and/or solar wind conditions vary. Moreover, the closed fields can have both footpoints on the day or night hemisphere, or one footpoint on each. The case studies presented in Figures 1 and 2 show magnetic field lines extending $> 1.6 \ R_m$ into the tail with photoelectrons traveling in both the parallel and anti-parallel directions. This indicates that both ends of the field line intersect the dayside ionosphere, which is the source of the photoelectrons. Such closed magnetic loops are present in MHD simulations. While MHD cannot describe all aspects of the Mars-solar wind interaction, and in particular the motion of energetic pickup ions, which can have gyroradii comparable to and larger than the size of the planet, previous studies [e.g. Dong et al., 2015b; Ma et al., 2015; Luhmann et al., 2015; Jakosky et al., 2015b] have demonstrated that MHD simulations can resemble some aspects of the observed interaction. In this study, we use them as an interpretive tool, as magnetic
topology is one area where MHD simulations could provide some insight. Conversely, we seek to inform such models with experimental measurements of Mars’ topological configuration and its variability. Figure 3 displays a snapshot of closed and open field lines from the time-dependent MHD simulation of Ma et al. [2014] and Fang et al. [2015], with magnetic field line tracing starting at 150 km. Several of the closed magnetic loops extending into the tail have both footpoints in the dayside ionosphere (purple lines on the $-Y_{MSO}$ side), which is the same configuration indicated by our experimental results.

The electron populations in the trapped-zone pitch angles differ for the two cases, implying different formation mechanisms and/or histories for the magnetic loops. For case 1, solar wind electrons are observed with pitch angles between $30^\circ$ and $150^\circ$ on a closed field line, indicating that they are trapped, or magnetically mirroring above the footpoints. How do solar wind electrons become trapped on a closed magnetic field loop? Either solar wind electrons were injected onto the line at an earlier time when the magnetic topology was different, or they drifted onto the field loop by magnetic gradient/curvature forces. Solar wind electrons have access to draped and open field lines in the tail; however, drift across these lines is unlikely because of the relatively large radii of field line curvature and small field gradients. These trapped solar wind electrons are more likely to result from the reconnection of two open field lines with a footpoint embedded in the dayside ionosphere. While field-aligned solar wind electrons would be absorbed by the atmosphere within loss-cone pitch angles ($30^\circ$ for Figure 1h) because of frequent collisions with atmospheric neutrals, solar wind electrons with more perpendicular pitch angles can survive and bounce above the collisional atmosphere until they pitch angle scatter into the loss cone. Meanwhile, field-aligned pitch angles will be continuously
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supplied with photoelectrons, as both footpoints of the field line are embedded in the
dayside ionosphere. In this scenario, our observations correspond to the state of the field
line and electron populations after reconnection.

For case 2, the field line is populated with an isotropic distribution of photoelectrons,
suggesting the field line has remained closed long enough for photoelectrons to scatter into
the trapped zone. It is possible that case 2 is a more evolved stage of case 1: after sufficient
time has passed for solar wind electrons to be scattered and lost to the atmosphere, and
for photoelectrons to be scattered into the trapped zone of the pitch angle space. Another
possible scenario is closed loops being distorted tailward by surrounding plasma flows. In
particular, the example in Figure 2, with a continuous transition from the ionosphere
to closed loops extending to \( \sim 2500 \) km altitude, suggests a large volume of closed field
lines, supporting the second scenario. These large closed loops might be the prelude to
high-altitude flux ropes [e.g. Hara et al., 2015].

4. Occurrence Rate

As the shape parameter is designed to distinguish photoelectrons from solar wind elec-
trons, we can design an algorithm to search for closed magnetic loops with both footpoints
on the dayside ionosphere and with or without a trapped solar wind population through-
out the MAVEN mission, from Dec. 1, 2014 to Apr. 21, 2017. Since the loss cone width
is variable and not known a priori, the shape parameter is calculated for pitch angles
(PAs) \( 0^\circ - 30^\circ, 30^\circ - 150^\circ, \) and \( 150^\circ - 180^\circ \) separately. We choose a loss/source cone width
of 30 degrees to closely match the angular resolution of SWEA (22.5\(^\circ\)). This provides
near optimal sensitivity to the presence of photoelectrons while not excluding too much
data because of insufficient pitch angle coverage. So for case 1, it requires the shape
parameter below one for PA $0^\circ - 30^\circ$ and $150^\circ - 180^\circ$ and above one for PA $30^\circ - 150^\circ$; for case 2, the criterion is shape parameters below one for all three pitch angle ranges. On cross-terminator closed field lines [e.g. Xu et al., 2016a; Collinson et al., 2016], photoelectrons can be collisionally backscattered from the nightside atmosphere, but the reflected flux would be much lower. From a simulation with the Superthermal Electron Transport (STET) model [Liemohn et al., 2003; Xu and Liemohn, 2015; Xu et al., 2015b], the reflected flux is around $10\% - 30\%$ of incoming electron fluxes. Therefore, we only select samples with a flux ratio of the two field-aligned directions within a factor of 2 between 30 to 80 eV, to better ensure that both footpoints are on the dayside.

The occurrence rates for case 1 and case 2 are illustrated in the left (a-c) and right (d-f) columns of Figure 4, respectively. The sample numbers for each projection are shown in Figure S1. The occurrence rates range from a few percent to a few tens of percent outside of the optical shadow and less than one percent within the shadow and $X_{MSO} < -1.2$.

The two cases share some similarities: a higher occurrence rate at low altitudes (the green and red areas in Figure 4c and 4f), as expected, and a higher occurrence rate outside of the shadow. The sharp change in occurrence rate at the wake boundary in all panels is likely not physical, but rather is due to the negative spacecraft charging within the optical shadow. The spectra are corrected for spacecraft potential, but large values of the potential ($< -15$ Volts) increase the value of the shape parameter because part of the distribution is repelled by the potential. This results in an increased rate of misidentifications and an artificially lower occurrence rate of photoelectron spectra.

Outside the optical shadow, case 2 has higher occurrence rates than case 1, especially near the terminator plane (Figure 4c and 4f), where closed field lines are still in sunlight.
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Case 1 occurs more frequently in the $-Z_{MSO}$ hemisphere than the $+Z_{MSO}$ hemisphere from Figures 4a-4c, indirectly indicative of more reconnections in the southern hemisphere where the strongest crustal fields are located. Similarly, a higher occurrence rate in the $-Z_{MSO}$ hemisphere than the $+Z_{MSO}$ hemisphere is hinted in Figure 4f, arguably in Figures 4d and 4e, probably also attributed to the southern strong crustal fields.

Comparing Figures 4b and 4e, within the optical shadow, the occurrence rate of case 1 is higher than that of case 2. Other than the artifact caused by negative spacecraft potentials, there might be a physical explanation. Large loops are likely to be distorted into the tail because of the compression on the dayside and tailward plasma motion downstream. This stretching of closed loops is mostly in the anti-sunward direction, which makes it more difficult for such field lines to extend towards the X-axis into the shadow.

5. Summaries and Implications

Using pitch angle resolved electron energy spectra measured by SWEA, we have discovered closed magnetic loops, with both ends embedded in the dayside ionosphere, that extend up to at least 2.8 Rm downstream of the planet. While MHD has its limitations in describing Mars plasma environment, magnetic topology is one aspect where MHD models can provide insight. These large loops inferred from our observational study have appeared in MHD simulations driven by nominal solar wind parameters. It suggests that such large closed loops can routinely develop under quiet solar conditions. The two types of closed loops differ in formation mechanisms. For the case with a mixture of photoelectrons and solar wind electrons (case 1), it is likely a result of reconnection. The result is consistent with the spatial distributions of reconnection signature by Harada et al. [2017].
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For the case with photoelectrons in all directions (case 2), a possible scenario is that these closed field lines are pulled back down tail as crustal fields are compressed on the dayside and tailward plasma motion drags these field lines tailward. The statistical results show that these large closed loops occur more frequently in the $-Z_{\text{MSO}}$ hemisphere, probably attributed to the southern strong crustal fields.

Previously, photoelectrons observed in the tail have been taken to be an indicator of open field lines connected to the dayside ionosphere and associated with tail ion escape [e.g. Frahm et al., 2010; Coates et al., 2011] due to instrumental limitations. These studies were conducted without a simultaneous magnetic field measurement, which could have been used to map the electron measurements into pitch angle and thus infer topology. This study suggests that tail photoelectron observations can also be indicative of closed field lines and, consequently, not necessarily lead to ion escape. Of course, we have shown that these loops experience reconnection, so what is trapped at one moment may be lost later. On the other hand, the low occurrence rate of these large closed loops suggests only a small correction to the presumed open magnetic topology in previous studies. As these large closed field lines are likely associated with reconnection, the occurrence map in Figure 4 is also suggestive of reconnection locations and occurrence rates, which are roughly consistent with findings in Harada et al. [2017]. However, it is worth noticing that case 1 is only a subset of reconnection, excluding reconnections among closed, draped, and open field lines attached to the nightside. This is also part of reason why the occurrence rate within the shadow is much lower than that in Harada et al. [2017]. In addition, these observations only serve as a snapshot of post-reconnection. The reconnection site can be upstream or downstream of these observations. More likely it is the latter case if
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we assume recently closed loops move Mars-ward after reconnection. Electron transport simulations are needed to determine how long these trapped solar wind electrons can survive at high altitudes. Finally, Brain et al. [2010] illustrated a scenario, in which a large closed loop was pinched off and formed a flux rope. Case 2 could be a prelude of this scenario. The observations of high-altitude flux ropes by MAVEN [Hara et al., 2015] would be consistent with our study.
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Figure 1. Time series of spacecraft altitude (a), magnetic field magnitude (b) and components in MSO coordinates (c) measured by MAG, pitch angle distribution (PAD) of 111 - 140 eV electrons (d), energy spectra (e) measured by SWEA, and pitch angle-resolved shape parameters (f) on Feb. 14, 2016. The black dots in (e) indicate the spacecraft potential estimated by SWEA measurements. In (f), “away” and “towards” indicate electron movement relative to the planet, determined from pitch angles and magnetic elevation angles. Panel (g) shows the orbit geometry in MSO cylindrical frame, with X pointing at the Sun and R being the distance from the X axis. Panel (h) illustrates the electron energy spectra for pitch angle (PA) $0^\circ - 30^\circ$ (red), $30^\circ - 150^\circ$ (green), and $150^\circ - 180^\circ$ (blue), extracted at time indicated by the vertical dashed line in the left panels and the spacecraft location indicated by the red dot in (g). In (a) and (g), altitudes and orbit trajectories are colored according to nominal locations of the plasma regions, with fitted parameters from Trotignon et al. [2006]. MPB refers to the magnetic pileup boundary.
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Figure 2. The ephemeris, magnetic field, and electron information of an orbit on Oct. 24, 2015, the same format as Figure 1.
Figure 3. An example of magnetic field lines derived from a time-dependent MHD simulation by Ma et al. [2014] and Fang et al. [2015]. The snapshot corresponds to a time point in the simulation when the strongest crustal field region is located on the nightside. The field lines have footpoints at 150 km altitude on 10 × 10 degree longitude-latitude grids. The color on the spherical surface is the magnetic magnitude at 105 km. As no draped solar wind-entriained field lines can penetrate down to such a low altitude, only closed and open field lines are shown, purple for both footpoints on the dayside, black for both footpoints on the nightside, gray for cross terminator closed loops, orange for open field lines with one footpoint on the dayside, and blue for open field lines with one footpoint on the nightside.
Figure 4. The occurrence rate of the high-altitude closed loops in three projections, the left column (a-c) for case 1 and the right column (d-e) for case 2. The top two rows are in the $Y_{MSO} - Z_{MSO}$ plane for two $X_{MSO}$ ranges: $X_{MSO} = [-1.2, 0]$ (a and d) and $X_{MSO} = [-3, -1.2]$ (b and e), respectively, and the bottom row (c and f) in the MSO cylindrical coordinates with radial distance signed by $\pm Z_{MSO}$. ©2017 American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved.