Time Series Analysis of the NASA MODIS and VIIRS Cloud Products

S. Platnick¹, K. Meyer¹, N. Amarasinghe^{2,1}, G. Wind^{2,1}, S. A. Ackerman³, R. Holz³, A. Heidinger^{4,3}, M. D. King⁵, P. Menzel³, and many more

¹NASA GSFC, ²SSAI, ³U. Wisconsin/SSEC/CIMMS, ⁴NOAA NESDIS, ⁵U. Colorado/LASP

Sun-Climate Symposium Madison, WI 18 May 2022







- Key Challenges to Achieving an Imager Climate Data Record
- MODIS/VIIRS Imager Cloud Products
- Example Time Series Analysis
 - MODIS Standard Cloud Products (MOD06, MYD06) & ENSO Correlations
 - How long a time series is enough?
 - MODIS/VIIRS Continuity Products (CLDMSK, CLDPROP)
 - Is continuity achievable? Does it matter for trend studies?





- 1. Radiometry
 - Single sensor: stability
 - Multiple sensors: stability and (relative) accuracy
 - LEO: MODIS Aqua and Terra, VIIRS Suomi NPP, NOAA-20, ...
 - GEO ring: ABI, AHI, ...
- 2. Information Content Across Different Sensors
 - MODIS v. VIIRS: using common algorithms to better account for:
 - VIIRS missing IR CO, and IR/SWIR H O absorption channels present on MODIS => impacts Cloud Top Pressure (CTP), cloud masking, multilayer cloud detection
 - VIIRS 2.25 μm vs. MODIS 2.13 μm channel => impacts Cloud Effective Radius (CER), thermodynamic phase
 - Spatial resolution (Nadir VIIRS 750m M-bands v. MODIS 1km native/aggregated bands, pixel growth v. scan angle)



MODIS L1B Radiometric Stability: key events

- Collection 5.1 => C6.0 (2013/2014)
 - Terra VNIR/SWIR: radiometric corrections (RVS), corrected significant Cloud Optical Thickness (COT) trend artifacts
- Collection 6.0 => C6.1 (2017)
 - Aqua and Terra VNIR/SWIR: Further RVS corrections (primarily COT trends)
 - Terra IR: cross-talk corrections (8.5 μm), corrected significant trends in cloud amount and cloud top height trends



MODIS Terra Radiometric Stability Example: VNIR Response v. Scan Angle

Cloud Optical Thickness

liquid water clouds, 18-yr time series, ±60° zonal mean



MODIS Terra Radiometric Stability: IR Crosstalk

Cloud Fraction, Terra 18-yr time series, ±25° zonal mean over ocean

Joddard





MODIS Terra Radiometric Stability: IR Crosstalk

Cloud Top Height, Terra 18-yr time series, ±25° zonal mean



Relative Calibration: MODIS Aqua v. SNPP and N20 VIIRS



Table 2. Shortwave radiometric adjustment factors derived for SNPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS, derived against the Aqua MODIS C6.1 L1B. Adjustment factors derived against the Aqua MODIS C6 L1B following the current approach and those found by [20] are also shown for SNPP VIIRS derived for clear sky ocean scenes for the Deep Blue aerosol product.

	VIIRS Wavelength (Band Designation)		0.67 μm (M5)	0.87 μm (M7)	1.24 μm (M8)	1.61 μm (M10)	2.25 μm (M11)
Radiometric Adjustment Factor	NOAA-20	vs. MODIS C6.1	1.0	1.01	1.02	1.02	0.99
	SNPP	vs. MODIS C6.1 vs. MODIS C6 Deep Blue Gain Factors	0.95 0.94 0.941	0.97 0.96 0.963	0.99 0.98 1.011	0.98 0.98 0.981	0.97 0.97 0.931

K. Meyer et al., Rem. Sens., 2020

Goddard SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

Relative Calibration: MODIS Aqua v. SNPP and N20 VIIRS



K. Meyer et al., Rem. Sens., 2020

Goddard SPACE FLIGHT CENTER





- Key Challenges to Achieving an Imager Climate Data Record
- MODIS/VIIRS Imager Cloud Products
- Example Time Series Analysis
 - MODIS Standard Cloud Products (MOD06, MYD06) & ENSO Correlations
 - How long a time series is enough?
 - MODIS/VIIRS Continuity Products (CLDMSK, CLDPROP)
 - Is continuity achievable? Does it matter for trend studies?



















Goddard





Goddard SPACE FLIGHT CENTER





MODIS Terra, Aqua Standard Products

- MOD35 (Terra), MDY35 (Aqua): cloud mask (1 km)
- MOD06 (Terra), MYD06 (Aqua): cloud top (1, 5 km), optical properties (1 km)
- MOD08 (Terra), MYD08 (Aqua):
 1° gridded statistics (daily, 8-day, monthly)

VIIRS/MODIS Continuity Products

- CLDMSK_L2_sensor_platform: cloud mask (0.75 km)
- CLDPROP_L2_sensor_platform: cloud top (0.75 km), opt. properties (0.75 km)
- CLDPROP_M3_sensor_platform: 1° gridded monthly statistics (also D3 daily aggregation)



Collection/Version History

MODIS Atmosphere Team Products (MOD/MYD 04, 05, 06, 07, 35, 08, ATML2)

Collection	MODIS Terra Reprocessing start	MODIS Aqua Reprocessing start		
6.1	Sept. 2017 (completed Dec. 2017)	Dec. 2017 (completed March 2018)		
6.0	2014	2013		
5.1	2008	2008		
5.0	2005	2005		
4	2002	2002		
3	2001	2002		
1	2000	-		

Continuity Products (CLDMSK, CLDPROP)

Version	A-SIPS delivery to LAADS: SNPP, NOAA 20
1.1 (CLDPROP)	Jan. 2020, Dec. 2020
1.0	Dec. 2018–Feb. 2020, Dec. 2020





- Key Challenges to Achieving an Imager Climate Data Record
- MODIS/VIIRS Imager Cloud Products
- Example Time Series Analysis
 - MODIS Standard Cloud Products (MOD06, MYD06) & ENSO Correlations
 - How long a time series is enough?
 - MODIS/VIIRS Continuity Products (CLDMSK, CLDPROP)
 - Is continuity achievable? Does it matter for trend studies?



MODIS Terra C6.1 Time Series: How Long is enough? Number of Years Required to Detect a Trend

(90% prob. of detecting a trend to a 0.05 statistical level, no autocorrelation)



High CF (p_c<440 hPa) Anomaly Trend/decade (absolute) masked by 5% statistical sig.

Goddard SPACE FLIGHT CENTER





High Cloud Fraction (p_c<440 hPa): Absolute Trend/decade in Monthly Anomaly, masked by 5% statistical sig.





High Cloud Fraction Time Series for a 1° grid box in western equatorial Pacific



July 2000-June 2012





High Cloud Fraction Time Series for a 1° grid box in equatorial Pacific



July 2000-June 2020

High CF Anomaly (p_c<440 hPa) correlation with ENSO 3.4, ±15° lat



Platnick et al., Sun-Climate Symposium, 18 May 2022



High Cloud Fraction, Anomaly Trend from June 2000 – July of Year Indicated

Goddard BRACE FLIGHT CENTER











Empirical answer: for ±25° latitude, 135E–100W, ocean July 2000 – June 2021





Empirical answer: for ±25° latitude, 135E–100W, ocean July 2000 – June 2021







ENSO correlation previous work: just as a reminder, the plots below were rolling 8-yr and 10-yr time windows of ENSO3.4 trends used to demonstrate the sensitivity of 8/10-yr retrieval trends to the phasing of observations with the ESNO index. Might want to redo plots.



If ENSO correlations imply a linear process (?), then the anomaly data record

Example ENSO3.4 vs. MODIS Anomalies



Hypothesis: $y = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} x$, e.g., y = cloud fraction, x = time (month, season, yr

Linear Fit: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}_0 + \mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{x}$

Measures of significance: F-test, T-test on b_1 , $\forall ar(b_1)$, R^2 . All four are related for an OLS of this form.

Ignoring temporal autocorrelation: $V \operatorname{ar}(b_{1}) = \frac{\sum (y_{i} - \overline{y_{i}})^{2}}{n-2} \xrightarrow{1} (x_{i} - \langle x_{i} \rangle)^{2}}$ Time record required for 90% probability in detecting a trend at the 0.05 statistical

significance level (Tiao et al., 1990; Weatherhead et al., 1998):

$$n * (yrs) \approx \left[\frac{3.3 \frac{100}{\langle y \rangle}}{\text{trend } (\% / yr)} \right]^{2/3}$$



SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

21-yr trend (July 2000-June 2021)



Goddard SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

(July 2000-June 2021)





- Key Challenges to Achieving an Imager Climate Data Record
- MODIS/VIIRS Imager Cloud Products
- Example Time Series Analysis
 - MODIS Standard Cloud Products (MOD06, MYD06) & ENSO Correlations
 - How long a time series is enough?
 - MODIS/VIIRS Continuity Products (CLDMSK, CLDPROP)
 - Is continuity achievable? Does it matter for trend studies?

MODIS Aqua Standard v. CLDPROP Time Series

±60° latitude for all surface types, daytime observations only

SPACE FLIGHT CENTER



 $\pm 60^{\circ}$ latitude for all surface types, daytime observations only

SPACE FLIGHT CENTER



 $\pm 60^{\circ}$ latitude for all surface types, daytime observations only



MODIS/VIIRS 'stitched' time series

SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

 Offset SNPP VIIRS to match 2-yr overlapping MODIS avg.

Liquid Cloud Optical Thickness (COT)





Goddard

MODIS Aqua Standard v. MODIS/VIIRS CLDPROP Time Series

±60° latitude for all surface types, daytime observations only

Goddard SPACE FLIGHT CENTER



MODIS Aqua Standard v. MODIS/VIIRS CLDPROP Time Series

±60° latitude for all surface types, daytime observations only

Goddard



Platnick et al., Sun-Climate Symposium, 18 May 2022



MODIS/VIIRS CLDPROP "Stitched" Time Series

(mitann) (und) (mianu RC Ce-seasonalized frend and prealue . **b**_{2.00} = -0.56 m urdt ber det [0.00] SNPP VIES CLOEP CP. 0.15 miuton per ces (1.01) b. NODIS CLOPROP x. -2012 2014 2025 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2018 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2018 Year (CUUD) (CUUD) ⇒ = Ξ De-seasonalized trans and prvalue THE PL $b_{\mu\nu} = 0.37 \text{ mms} \operatorname{crtar}[0.10]$ $b_{\mu\mu\nu} = 0.27 \text{ misson per cos [0.00]}$ -55 2003 2004 2006 2007 2006 2009 2010 2011 2012 2014 2014 2015 2016 2017 2016 2016 2016 2016 Year micron HL (LIQUID) (micron) De-seeachalized brend and p-value = d2-nimeperder d0d alaa missa keessaadi alaa -1 8 Yea:

Liquid Cloud Effective Droplet Radius (CER), ±60° latitude for all surface types



MODIS/VIIRS CLDPROP "Stitched" Time Series

Liquid Cloud Effective Droplet Radius (CER), ±60° latitude for all surface types



MODIS/VIIRS 'stitched' time series

 Offset SNPP VIIRS to match 2-yr overlapping MODIS avg., 2013-2014

Liquid Cloud Effective Radius (CER) from 2.x µm channel

-90

90E



MODIS CER (µm)/dec 0 (p≤0.05) -1 -2 90W 2 Stitched MODIS/VIIRS CER (µm)/dec

(p≤0.05)

-1

-2

n.

180

180

90W

Joddard



Algorithm References

- CLDMSK: Frey et al., Rem. Sens. (2020)
- MODIS/VIIRS VNIR-SWIR Radiometric Adjustments: Meyer et al., Rem. Sens. (2020)
- MODIS Standard Cloud Products: Platnick et al., TGRS (2017)
- CLDPROP Optical/Microphysical Properties: Platnick et al., Rem. Sens. (2020, 2021)
- CLDPROP Cloud Top Properties: Heidinger et al., J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol. (2019)