Inclination and metallicity dependence of the near-UV Ca II H & K emissions

krishnamurthy@mps.mpg.de

In collaboration with: Veronika Witzke, Steven H. Saar, Alexander I. Shapiro, Nina-E. Nèmec Theodosios Chatzistergos, Kok Leng Yeo, Natalie A. Krivova, Sami K. Solanki





Sowmya Krishnamurthy Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research, Germany

2022 Sun-Climate Symposium, 19 May 2022

Magnetism in Ca II H & K



Source: An Introduction to Stellar Magnetic Activity by Gibor Basri

Non-thermal heating by the magnetic fields leads to an increased emission in the Ca II H & K line cores





$$S(t) = \alpha_{\rm c} \ \frac{N_{\rm H}(t) + N_{\rm K}(t)}{N_{\rm R}(t) + N_{\rm V}(t)}$$

Vaughan et al. (1978)

Many aspects of the complex relation between stellar magnetism and S-index remain largely unexplored (e.g. dependence on the inclination, stellar metallicity)

S-index

S-index is one of the main proxies for stellar magnetic activity



Development of the model to compute S-index and its validation using solar S-index data

Exploration of the effect of inclination and metallicity on the S-index for solar-stellar comparison studies

of the faculae spectra and Star **Non-LTE** quiet

features

magnetic

Of

Disk coverage





*Based on Spectral And Total Irradiance REconstruction model (Fligge et al. 2000; Krivova et al. 2003)



computed from the observed intensity images and magnetograms (Yeo et al. 2014)



Solar S-index time series



Composite B-16 is derived from Bertello et al. (2016)

Sowmya et al. (2021)

Effect of stellar inclination 'i' on the S-index



obtained using the magnetograms synthesised from surface flux transport model (Cameron et al. 2010; Nèmec et al. 2020)



*Based on Spectral And Total Irradiance REconstruction model (Fligge et al. 2000; Krivova et al. 2003)

features Of Disk coverage magnetic

spectra of the faculae and Star -LTE quiet Non-





Effect of inclination





Is the Ca II variability of the Sun too strong?







Is the Ca II variability of the Sun too strong?



Sowmya et al. (2021)



Is the Ca II variability of the Sun too strong?



Solar Ca II H & K emission variation is absolutely normal in comparison to stars with near-solar magnetic activity

Sowmya et al. (2021)

Effect of stellar metallicity '[m/H]' on the S-index

Spectra for different [m/H]





S-index time series



Mean S vs [m/H] for different inclinations

Comparison to observations

Comparison to observations

[m/H]

- S-index not only depends on the stellar intrinsic properties such as metallicity but also on • the stellar inclination
- With decreasing inclination, the amplitude of *S*-index variations decreases
- We find that the Sun has a completely normal level of *S*-index variability
- S-index decreases with increasing metallicity

Thank you for your attention!

krishnamurthy@mps.mpg.de

- S-index not only depends on the stellar intrinsic properties such as metallicity, surface • gravity etc. but also on the stellar inclination
- We developed a model capable of calculating S-index variations and used it to study the effect of inclination and metallicity on the S-index
- With decreasing inclination, the amplitude of *S*-index variations decreases *
- We find that the Sun has a completely normal level of *S*-index variability
- S-index decreases with increasing metallicity

Thank you for your attention!

krishnamurthy@mps.mpg.de

MWO S - index

Original definition of *S* - index by Vaughan et al. (1978)

$$S(t) = 8 \ \alpha_{\rm c} \ \frac{N_{\rm H}(t) + N_{\rm K}(t)}{N_{\rm R}(t) + N_{\rm V}(t)}$$

t - time *

 α_c - calibration constant (= 2.4)

- * $N_{\rm H}, N_{\rm K}, N_{\rm R}, N_{\rm V}$ flux in the passbands H, K, R, V
- Factor of 8 is to account for the different duty cycles *

λ[Å]

- K and H triangular passbands * with FWHM 1.09 Å centred at Ca II K (3933.66 Å) and H (3968.47 Å)
- R and V 20 Å wide rectangular * reference passbands

* V (3891.06 Å - 3911.06 Å)

R (3991.06 Å - 4011.06 Å) •

SATIRE-S filling factors

indicated.

Fig. 2. Area coverage of the facular component for solar cycles 21– 24. The colors correspond to observations from different instruments as

Quiet Sun spectra

Black - observed spectra*

Red - RH spectra

(a), (b), (c) - disk center (d), (e), (f) - disk integrated

*Hamburg atlas (Doerr et al. 2016)

Facular contrast

Our model (based on SATIRE*)

computed from the observed intensity images and magnetograms (Yeo et al. 2014)

and features spectra Sun quiet -LTF gneti Non the ma

Disk coverage magnetic Of

features

Of

*Spectral And Total Irradiance REconstruction (Fligge et al. 2000; Krivova et al. 2003)

Our model (based on SATIRE*)

l concentric rings

image credit: svs.gsfc.nasa.gov

$$V_m(t) = \int_m F(t, \lambda_m) T(\lambda_m) d\lambda_m$$

Transmission profile of the passband *m*

 $F(t,\lambda) = \sum_{l} \left\{ I_q(\lambda,\mu_l) + \sum_{j} \alpha_{jl}(t) \left[I_j(\lambda,\mu_l) - I_q(\lambda,\mu_l) \right] \right\} \Delta \Omega_l$ $j = \{f, u, p\}$

disk coverages of magnetic features

*Spectral And Total Irradiance REconstruction (Fligge et al. 2000; Krivova et al. 2003)

Opacity fudging

Effect of inclination

Reconstruction of the S - index variations

Rotational timescale

Composite-B16 : data from Bertello et al. (2016)

Is the Sun anomalous in its chromospheric activity?

Solar Ca II H & K emission variation is absolutely normal in comparison to stars with near-solar magnetic activity

Sowmya et al. (2021), ApJ, 914, p21

Is the Sun anomalous in its chromospheric activity?

Sowmya et al. (2021), ApJ, 914, p21

Correcting for [m/H] dependence

S-index at a given [m/H] scales linearly with the *S*-index at solar metallicity

$S_0 = a_{m/H} * S_{m/H} + b_{m/H}$

Surface flux transport model

- under the influence of differential rotation and meridional flow
- 2. The magnetic field emerges as bipolar active regions
- sunspot group record of Jiang et al. (2011) for the period 1700-2010
- Royal Greenwich Observatory
- 5. Active regions emerge at random longitudes essential for inclination studies

1. SFTM (Cameron et al. 2010; Jiang et al. 2011) is a advective diffusive model describing the passive transport of radial component of the magnetic flux on the stellar surface

3. The emergence characteristics of these regions are determined using the semi-empirical

4. Statistical properties of sunspots in this record reflect those of the sunspot record of the

Variations on activity cycle timescale

Inclination dependence of rotational variability

can be well approximated by a simple function of the type $\langle S_{30} \rangle$ (i) = $c1 + c2 * \sin i$

Models for different [m/H]

magnetograms synthesised from surface flux transport model (Cameron et al. 2010; Nèmec et al. 2020)

spectra of the faculae and Star ND-LTF iet Noi dn

Disk-integrated quiet Star

$$\left[\mathrm{Wm}^{-2}\mathrm{Sr}^{-1}\mathrm{\AA}^{-1}\right]$$

Our approach (for metallicity)

Courtesy of Nina Nèmec

Standard 1D models

B-V vs metallicity

Polynomial fit

Stellar sample

Wright et al. (2004)

Valenti & Fischer (2005)

Correlation between Mg II & Ca II

krishnamurthy@mps.mpg.de

Can we use the correlation to get Ca II images of sunspots from IRIS data in Mg II?

Can we learn something about effect of spots on the *S* - index?

Vernazza et al. (1981)

Model atmospheres

Tagirov et al. (2019)

Shapiro et al. (2016)

As model atmospheres we used three snapshots from three different radiation-magnetohydrodynamic (R-MHD) numerical simulations carried out with the Bifrost code (Gudiksen et al. 2011). All three runs simulated a bipolar magnetic region, which consists of two magnetic polarity patches separated by 8 Mm (illustrated for Model 2 in Fig. 3). The region is similar to an enhanced network with an unsigned magnetic field strength of 50 G in the photosphere. In all three cases, the simulation box has the same physical size of 24 Mm \times 24 Mm \times 16.9 Mm spanning from the top of the convection zone up to the corona. The models differ in the spatial resolutions of their coordinate grids and in the equations of state (EoS) used for the initial R-MHD setup.

Bjorgen et al. (2017)

Fig. 10. Spatially averaged intensity profiles of the Ca II K line at $\mu = 1$. *Upper panel*: undegraded synthetic profiles for Model 1, 2, and 3 (blue, red, and green) are compared with the Hamburg atlas profile (black). *Lower panel*: degraded synthetic profiles (same notation) are compared with the SST/CHROMIS profile from our observations (black). The gray curve indicates the assumed CHROMIS spectral transmission profile.

