## The Bimodal Corona Revisited

Stephen White \& Sam Schonfeld

## AFRL

The Bimodal corona: Cycle 24 (Schonfeld et al 2017: MEGS-A)



the alr force research laboratory

## EVE DEMs from 3 stages of the solar cycle



All the change over the solar cycle is in the hot side of the DEM

The solar corona below $\log T=6.1$ does not see the solar cycle


## Coronal onset associated with cycle prediction



MEGS-B:
Cycle 24 vs 25

Can't use same MEGS-A lines as in Cycle 24

But MEGS-B has lines covering suitable range, e.g.,

Si XII (6.30) vs Ne VII (5.75)




Line fitting

## Cycle 24 Solar min Cycle 25









2010-2023: cool lines are remarkably constant:

Where is the solar cycle?


2010-2023: hot lines have full cycle.

## But have not returned to previous levels: why?



Fe 16 at 360.7 A: close to previous levels

FE_16 360.7 A $\log T=6.45$


## Fe 16 at 335 A is back to previous levels



## Dubious <br> MEGS-B <br> windows

Cycle 24 Solar min Cycle 25


NE_8770.4 A $\log T=5.90$

## Ne 8 at longer wavelengths: looks OK
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Mg 9 is one of the stronger lines with no real confusion, but fitting Is poor

MG_9 368.2 A $\log T=6.05$
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## Mg 10 is another strong line, relatively isolated, no issues with fitting but not quite back to same level
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Line fitting

## Cycle 24 Solar min Cycle 25
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Double-valued behavior in Mg 10, change in relationship in Fe 16

- No evidence for bimodal solar corona or a sharp transition in Cycle 25 so far
- But same pattern of no solar cycle in cold lines ( $\log T<6.0$ ) is seen
- How should I address issues with degradation of MEGSB?

S_XIV 446.0 A $\log T=6.50$

S14 is the hottest line, not so strong, but has returned to prior-cycle level


FE_14 447.4 A $\log T=6.30$

## Fe14 line has not returned to priorcycle level, and there is a small shift in the wavelength



## Ca10 line: not very strong but width and wavelength stable, level almost back to prior cycle

CA_10 574.1 A $\log T=6.05$


