ACRIM3 Characterization by the LASP/TRF and the Total Solar Irradiance Database

ACRIM3 SCIENCE TEAM

Dr. Richard C. Willson ACRIM Principal Investigator

Dr. Nicola Scafetta Duke University Co-Investigator

Dr. J.M. Pasachoff Williams College Co-Investigator

Roger Helizon Instrument Consultant

ACRIMSAT PROJECT

Sandy Kwan JPL Project Manager

ACRIMSAT/ACRIM3



SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014

- And

ACRIM3 Testing and Characterization at the LASP/TRF¹

Tested the ACRIM3 flight backup instrument

Fabricated during the ACRIM3 flight instrument build Representative of ACRIM3 flight instrument performance

Test Plan

Compare ACRIM3 self-calibration with TRF cryo-radiometric scale Conduct diagnostic testing to characterize ACRIM3 scattering and diffraction effects Compare alternate 20 minute exposures between ACRIM3 and the TRF cryo-radiometer Comparisons used a laser transfer system calibrated by the TRF cryo-radiometer TRF cryo-radiometric scale traceable to NIST ² standards

Participants

LASP/TRF – Greg Kopp – TRF Operations ACRIMSAT/ACRIM3 – Richard Willson – ACRIM3 Science Team PI NRL³ – Jeff Morrill – Independent test oversight and testing/modeling

¹ Laboratory for Astronomy and Space Physics (LASP) Total Solar Irradiance Radiometer Facility (TRF)
² National Institute of Standards and Technology
³ Naval Research Laboratory (NRL)

ACRIM3 test setup Laboratory for Atmospheric And Space Physics (LASP) Total Solar Irradiance Radiometer Facility (TRF)



ACRIM3 Engineering Model



SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014

-

ACRIM3 Sensor Module and Irradiance Testing Modes



Summary of ACRIM3 TRF Testing and Algorithm Updates

ACRIM3 and TRF scales agree within test uncertainty (\pm ~ 300 ppm)

Combined ACRIM3 scattering and diffraction effects: ~ 5000 ppm (+/- ~ 500 ppm)

- ACRIM, LASP and NRL analyses of TRF data agree within test uncertainties
- ACRIM3 flight data algorithm updated

ADC temperature dependent reference voltage corrections updated Shutter cycle data parsing updated Sensor degradation self-calibration updated

• Effects of TRF corrections and algorithm updates

An annual thermal component in ACRIM3 results removed (~ 300 ppm) ACRIM3 and TIM results agree more closely in scale ACRIM3, VIRGO and TIM show close agreement in detected TSI variations



TOTAL SOLAR IRRADIANCE MONITORING RESULTS: 1978 to Present

RC Willson, earth_obs_fig1 12/05/2013

SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014



Satellite Total Solar Irradiance Monitoring Results Since 1978

Daily mean results reported on "native" scales of experiments (W/M² @ 1 AU) RC Willson, earth obs fig5 01/26/2014

Richard C. Willson

7

ACRIM Gap 'Bridge' Database Selection Criterion



¹ NSO/Kitt Peak Solar Magnetic Field Strength

RC Willson, ACRIM_Gap_4p_smfa 01/28/2014

SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014



SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014



RC Willson, ACRIM_and_PMOD_Composites 01/25/2014



SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014

1. The harmonic components of the ACRIM3, VIRGO and TIM results

2. The harmonic components of the ACRIM & PMOD composite TSI

3. The validation of ACRIM Composite TSI by the solar magnetic proxy models



Nicola Scafetta

Power Spectrum Comparison



-

Solar Rotation Range



Cycle	Туре	P (day)
Sun	equ-rot	24.7
Sun – Ju	equ-rot	24.8
Sun – Ea	equ-rot	26.5
🖌 Sun – Ea	Car-rot	27.3
Sun – Ve	equ-rot	27.8
🖌 Sun – Me	equ-rot	34.3



SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014

Nicola Scafetta

Power Spectrum Estimates of ACRIM and PMOD



Main planetary periods

Cycle	Туре	P (year)
Me	$\frac{1}{2}$ orbital	0.120 ± 0.000
Me-Ju	spring	0.123 ± 0.024
Me-Ea	spring	0.159 ± 0.027
Me-Ve	spring	0.198 ± 0.021
Me	orbital	0.241 ± 0.000
Me-Ju	synodic	0.246 ± 0.002
Ea	$\frac{1}{4}$ orbital	0.250 ± 0.000
Ve	$\frac{1}{2}$ orbital	0.307 ± 0.000
Me-Ea	synodic	0.317 ± 0.024
Ve-Ju	spring	0.324 ± 0.003
Ea	$\frac{1}{3}$ orbital	0.333 ± 0.000
Me-Ve	synodic	0.396 ± 0.033
Ea	$\frac{1}{2}$ orbital	0.500 ± 0.000
Ea-Ju	spring	0.546 ± 0.010
Ve	orbital	0.615 ± 0.000
Ve-Ju	synodic	0.649 ± 0.004
Ve–Ea	spring	0.799 ± 0.008
Ea	orbital	1.000 ± 0.000
Ea-Ju	synodic	1.092 ± 0.009
Ea–Ve	synodic	1.599 ± 0.016

SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014

Nicola Scafetta



RC Willson, ACRIM_and_PMOD_Composites 01/25/2014

PMOD Alterations of Nimbus7/ERB



SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014

Nimbus7/ERB - ERBS/ERBE Divergence



Douglas Hoyt's statement on Nimbus7/ERB accuracy during the ACRIM-Gap

- 1. There is no known physical change in the electrically calibrated N7 radiometer or its electronics that could have caused it to become more sensitive. At least neither Lee Kyle nor I could never imagine how such a thing could happen and no one else has ever come up with a physical theory for the instrument that could cause it to become more sensitive.
 - 2. The N7 radiometer was calibrated electrically every 12 days. The calibrations before and after the September shutdown gave no indication of any change in the sensitivity of the radiometer. Thus, when Bob Lee of the ERBS team originally claimed there was a change in N7 sensitivity, we examined the issue and concluded there was no internal evidence in the N7 records to warrant the correction that he was proposing. Since the result was a null one, no publication was thought necessary.
 - 3. Thus, Fröhlich's PMOD TSI composite is not consistent with the internal data or physics of the N7 cavity radiometer.



Surface Magnetic Indices TSI Proxy Models



SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014

Nimbus7/ERB & PMOD - KBS07



٠

Nicola Scafetta

ACRIM1, ACRIM2 & PMOD - KBS07



SORCE Science Team Mtg. Jan 29, 2014

Nicola Scafetta

ACRIM and PMOD TSI composites recalibrated using surface magnetic field TSI proxy model of Krivova et. al.



- ACRIM and PMOD TSI Composites calibrated during 1980-1989.5 and 1992.5-2001 using Krivova et. al. solar surface magnetic field proxy model instead of Nimbus7/ERB or ERBS/ERBE results
- The TSI trend from 1980-2000 of the recalibrated ACRIM and PMOD composites are similar in timing and amplitude to the trend in the original ACRIM composite.

A 2014-2020 TSI Tentative Forecast



year

Conclusions

Correction of ACRIM3 results for scattering and diffraction is required

Scattering+diffraction correction ~ - 5000 ppm Produces closer agreement of TSI results between ACRIM3 and SORCE/TIM

ACRIM3, TIM and VIRGO results observe the same TSI variability

Fine detail reveals periodic variations detected by all three data sets Largest signal is near the rotation rate of the primary solar magnetic latitudes

ACRIM Composite TSI finds a + 0.04 %/decade trend during solar cycles 21 – 23

ACRIM Composite trend supported by Kitt Peak solar magnetic field strength proxy PMOD Composite trend likely an artifact of ERBE uncorrected degradation

Additional Slides for Q & A

32°8 . .



Nimbus7/ERB - ACRIM1 - PMOD - WSKF06



WSKF06 - PMOD: The TSI Peak in 1979.2



ACRIM - PMOD - SATIRE

