Designing the Climate Observing System of the Future

Bruce Wielicki [1] *[b.a.wielicki@nasa.gov], Elizabeth Weatherhead* [2]*, and V. Ramaswamy* [3]

[1] *NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA*
[2] *NOAA, CIRES at the University of Colorado – Boulder, CO, USA*
[3] *NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory* (*GFDL*), *Princeton, NJ, USA*

Climate observations remain a serious challenge for current and future Earth observations. The number of essential climate variables to measure and monitor is ~ 50, about 10 times the number for weather observations. Observing the critical small decadal changes in those variables commonly requires 10 times the accuracy of weather observations. Maintaining very high accuracy continuous global observations over many decades provides a third challenge. As a result, the world lacks a designed, complete, and rigorous climate observing system. While progress has been made (e.g. WCRP GCOS, NASA Earth Observing System, Copernicus) very serious shortcomings remain (Trenberth et al. 2013).

Given the magnitude of the task, what is the appropriate level of investment? Recent estimates based on narrowing uncertainty in climate sensitivity suggest a value of $10 to $20 Trillion U.S. dollars in net present value at a typical discount rate of 3%. The cost to provide such an advanced climate observing system might require tripling current investments of $4 Billion per year, for 30 years or longer. But compared to its value, the return on investment ranges from 50:1 to 100:1, much higher than typical societal investments.

The need, value, and methods for designing such an observing system have been described in a recently published paper (Weatherhead et al. 2017, AGU Earth's Future). This presentation will summarize that paper as well as interpret the need in terms of the recent U.S. Academy of Sciences Decadal Survey released in January 2018. The Decadal Survey set of quantified climate science objectives allows a measure of how short we remain of the required observations. In most cases, the technology exists, but the resources to apply it do not. How do climate scientists better communicate this need to society as well as the large return on investment it represents?