

the intermittent nature of the emission, other notable differences between the Enceladus-Saturn coupling and the lo-Jupiter coupling, include 1) the beams are offset several moon radii co-rotationally downstream from Enceladus; 2) co-aligned energetic ion and electron beams are observed; and 3) electron beams in the wake of Enceladus flicker in energy by at least an order of magnitude on timescales of a few minutes.



 Above: Cassini particle and field observations on 11 August 2008.
 Top panel shows 55-90 keV protons observed by the Cassini Ion and Neutral Camera (INCA), contours 30° and 60° from the magnetic field direction are overplotted in white.

 Middle two panels show 1 eV to 22 keV electrons measured by the most (top) and least (bottom) field-aligned anodes of the CAPS ELectron Spectrometer (ELS) with the measured pitch angle overplotted in white.

Spectrometer (ELS) with the measured pitch angle overplotted in white. • Bottom panel shows an azimuthal perturbation (ΔB) in the **magnetic field** during this interval⁴. Positive ΔB (red) is in the direction of corotation.

• The magnetic signature expected from simple field line draping around an obstacle is characterised by negative ΔB (blue) above the equator. Positive ΔB (red) indicates a perturbation in the super-corotational sense.

 Overplotted on the bottom panel in green is the total upward fieldaligned electron energy flux derived by numerical integration of the electron data in panel 2 yields energy fluxes in the range 0.4 to 1.3 mW/m2. Calculations of electron energy loss in Jupiter's atmosphere indicate that 1 mW/m² of particle energy input produces ~ 10 kilo-Rayleighs (kR) of auroral UV emission⁵.

• The observed electron energy flux is expected to produce a UV emission brightness ~ 4 - 13 kR.



Above: Successive UVIS EUV polar-projected images of Saturn's north polar region from 2008 Aug 26 (DOY 239) 02:16-03:28 and 03:38-04:50 UT formed by slowly slewing the spacecraft and its long-site. UV spectrometer. The white boxes are centred on 64.5 N and the sub-Enceladus longitude, acver 4 degrees in latitude and 10 degrees in longitude, and enclose the predicted magnetic mapping of the satellite Enceladus to Saturn's dayside atmosphere. Satellite footprint emission is visible in both boxes. The latitude grid has 5 degree spacing and the hashed white line indicates the day/night terminator. The Sun is to the left.



QUICK FACTS - Enceladus

252 km

Radius:

Left: Sequential 8-s readouts from the sum of two EUV spatial pixels that cross the spot in Figure 2a (solid line) are compared to the sum of two neighboring spatial pixels off the spot (dashed line). The sub-Enceladus longitude is indicated by a vertical line.

The combined EUV and FUV brightnesses derived from the excess counts in the brightest time step of each of 3 accumulated images were 1550 ± 620 R, 1130 ± 230 R and ~90 R.

These should be considered lower limits, assuming the spatial pixel is uniformly filled by signal, as the true emission region size is not known.

Reference

¹Pontius, D. H., Jr., and T. W. Hill (2006), Enceladus: A significant plasma source for Saturn's magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res. **111**, A09214, doi:10.1029/2006JA011674.

Wannawichian, S., Clarke, J., & Pontius, D. H., J. (2005). Interaction evidence between Enceladus' atmosphere and Saturn's magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res. **113**, A07217, doi:10.1029/2007JA012899. "Pyror W. and Rymer A. M. (2010). Discovery of the Enceladus Auroral Fodophint, Nature.

1 you in an original reliable the (Loto), based only of him reliable reliable reliable provided to opinin, reliable r

Waite J, Jr, T. Cravens, J. Kozyra, A. Nagy, S. Arteya, and R. Chen (1983), Electron precipitation and related aeronomy of the Jovian thermosphere and ionosphere, J. *Ceophys.* Res. 88, 6143-6163.
⁴ "Ingensol, A. P. and S. P. Ewald (2017), Decadal timescale variability of the Enceladus plumes inferred from Cassini Images, Learus, 282.
 Open footprint veriability
 Normal State
 Normal State

 10
 17
 16
 10
 20
 10
 10
 10

 10
 17
 16
 10
 20
 10
 10
 10
 10

 10
 17
 16
 10
 20
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10

2006 2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 Yeors

From inserval and Evaid 2017. Variation in orbital eccentricity versus year. Y axis left is the Saturn-End distance at apocenter minus that at pericenter. Y-asis right is the eccentricity. See Ref for more detail. The red star shows when the Enceladus auroral footprint was observed and the blue tok mark the Enceladus Pylyrs with additional information provided in the table.

- During the mission so far 7 of a potential 317 images that could have shown a footprint do, 2.2 %.
- During August 2008 16% of the images showed a footprint, suggesting that ionization near Enceladus was enhanced during that interval.

Table above shows the times that the INCA camera or ELS observed field aligned ion beams both near and along Enceladus' orbit.

· Field aligned beams were observed during only 2 Enceladus flybys.

• There were no field aligned beams observed on the day that the Enceladus auroral footprint was observed, NB the INCA non-observation was 11 hours later.

We also checked for beams near L=4 when Enceladus is not present and found no correlation between the beam observations and the distance from Cassini to Enceladus.



Summary and Musings

Saturn's magnetic dipole is offset North (by 0.05 Rs, ~3000 km), maybe that is related to why auroral footprint and beams are in/from Northpole.



observed very close to the moon's

South pole and highly collimated in

the SC ram direction.

Hot plasma hits the moon's surface and is almost completely evacuated from the Enceladus flux tube.

Cold plasma is more centrifugally confined and is observed throughout the equatorial region Enceladus flux tube.

Actnowledgements. This work was supported by the Cassini Data Analysis Program under grant NNH082704011 to Johns Hopkins University, NASA-JPL contract NASS-97271 between the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and Johns Hopkins University for the MIMI investigation, by NASA-JPL contract 1243218 for the CAPS investigation at the Southwest Research Institute, and by NASA-JPL contract and by NASA-JPL contract S11984