Advective Flux Transport:

A Window into the Past – Simulating the Sun's Photospheric Magnetic Field for a Historical TSI Reconstruction.

> L. Upton, B. Jha, O. Coddington, L. Floyd, G. Kopp, J. Lean, and Y. Wang SWRI, CU/LASP, SSRC, NRL

> > **2023 Sun Climate Symposium** October 17th 2021

 Goal: Improve the historical TSI reconstructions from both the NRLTSI and SATIRE models by incorporating three primary improvements to the models' inputs.

Objectives:

- Update the 400-year sunspot record used in TSI models for historical reconstructions (SILSO)
- Re-compute flux-transport results to improve historical solarvariability estimates (This talk)
- Improve the TSI-measurement composite, providing a reference for TSI models (Next Talk - Greg)

The Advective Flux Transport Model

Upton & Hathaway have developed a state of the art SFT model, the Advective Flux Transport (AFT) model. This advanced model advects the surface field with the observed flows, reproducing magnetic field evolution.

Observed Surface Flows
Magnetic Field
Simulated (synthetic BMRs)
Observed(data assimilation)

Upton, L., & Hathaway, D. H. 2014a, ApJ, 792, 142 Upton, L., & Hathaway, D. H. 2014b, ApJ, 780, 5 Flux Maps of entire Sun
Butterfly diagrams
Polar Field Plots
AR evolution

The Advective Flux Transport Model



The Advective Flux Transport Model (AFT) transports magnetic flux with the observed flows (Meridional Flow, Differential Rotation, and Evolving Convection).
AFT produces magnetic maps of the entire surface Sun.

Historical Solar Cycles (prior to 1874) we do not have detailed AR catalogs.



- We've developed a Synthetic AR Generator (SARG) to create artificial catalogs.
- We define the strength and timing of each cycle using SILSO SSN v2.0.
- We apply the Hathaway fitting function to each cycle (blue) to define the Start/End of each cycle (time when the blue fits cross the Zero line).
- This is used to set the cadence of spot emergence as a function of the cycle strength (which in essence sets the amplitude of the cycle).

SARG AR Properties

- We define the number of days between subsequent AR emergence as 30.4368/(0.3 + 0.269736 × SSN).
- Flux as described in Munoz-Jaramillo et al. (2021) from the KPVT/SOLIS BMR Flux log-normal distribution .
- We determine the location of the AR:
 - The Latitude given by the standard law for the equator-ward drift of the active latitudes described in Hathaway (2011)
 - Longitude of the active region is drawn from a random uniform distribution.
- We determine the relative locations of both polarities:
 - Hale's Polarity based on the cycle & hemisphere
 - We assign the tilt of the AR based on the Gaussian distribution for Joy's Law detailed in (Hale et al. 1919; Munoz-Jaramillo et al. 2021)
 - The separation distance (Upton et al. 2023, in Prep.)

The time till the next emergence is determined, as described above, and the process is for the rest of the time series.



- Here we show the timing, location, and flux for one realization of Synthetic Active Regions for all Solar Cycles 1-24.
- Flux (and area) are indicated by symbol size and color.
- In this realization, there are a total of ~73000-74000 Active Regions.
- AR Flux ranges of ~2.e+20 to ~1.5e+23 Maxwells.

SARG Compared to Observations



Simulated Cycles show good agreement with observed cycles. Both in terms of emergence pattern and evolution (e.g., butterfly diagram). **Example of SARG in AFT**



Polar Field Evolution



The strength of the polar magnetic fields at solar cycle minimum have been well established as one of the best predictors of the amplitude of the following cycle.
To ensure that a cycle realization is a good representation of the historical cycles, we must make sure that this condition is satisfied as well.
This can be achieve in a number of ways (e.g., Flows, Tilts, Rogue ARs).
For this work, we achieve this by shifting the mean of the AR tilt distribution.

Shifting The AR Tilt Distribution

SC	End Year	End Month	End Goal
0	1755	2	1.8804144
1	1766	6	-3.2057492
2	1775	6	4.7761088
3	1784	8	-4.1507612
4	1798	4	1.01970928
5	1810	7	-0.7485228
6	1823	4	1.8666528
7	1833	12	-4.3104104
8	1843	8	3.5588172
9	1856	1	-3.1752248
10	1867	3	4.0995456
11	1878	11	-1.7840344
12	1889	10	2.382176
13	1902	1	-1.6194076
14	1913	7	2.6343012
15	1923	8	-2.1454472
16	1933	10	3.5375404
17	1944	2	-4.2249616
18	1954	5	5.822332
19	1964	10	-2.7936088
20	1976	5	4.5772732
21	1986	7	-4.3000404
22	1996	8	3.34073
23	2009	1	-1.556846
24	2020	1	1.95

We determine the expected polar field from the following cycle strength.
We run each cycle with a goal for the axial dipole moment (ADM).
To achieve the desired ADM, we shift the mean of the tilt distribution.
Negative/Positive shifts produce smaller/larger ADM.







Shifting The AR Tilt Distribution





Shifting the AR tilt allows us to tune the polar fields in a predictable way.
We do this for each cycle individually to find the optimum shift.

Successful 24 Cycle Simulation



We then a continuous simulation of all cycles with the desired shifts. Success!!!
Our job is done and maps from our simulation are then used in the TSI reconstruction.

Questions?



Diff

0.0004

-0.0147

-0.0459

0.1542

-0.1943

0.1835

-0.0733

0.1426

0.0538

0.0298

0.1155

0.2040

0.0772

0.1966

-0.0117

0.0866

Tilt Mod

0

1.5

1.5

-5

-4

-1.5

1.5

0

-3

-1

-4

-1

-3

0

-0.5



Rogue Active Regions

Rogue occur naturally as a consequence of the broad distribution.
However, they only become important when there is a significant imbalance between positive and negative rogues.











0

50



0.005

0.000

-50



