Gravitational Accretion of Particles onto Moonlets in Saturn's Rings

Yuki Yasui¹, Keiji Ohtsuki¹, Hiroshi Daisaka² ¹Kobe U., ²Hitotsubashi U.



(Yasui, Ohtsuki, Daisaka, submitted to ApJ)

Gravitational Accretion in Ring-Satellite Systems

- Formation of rings and satellites from circum-planetary particle disks (Charnoz et al. 2010, Canup 2010, Crida & Charnoz 2012, Hyodo, Ohtsuki, Takeda, in prep)
- Observations of shapes of small moons (Porco et al. 2007, Charnoz et al. 2007)
- Observations of ongoing accretion phenomena in Saturn's rings

(Beurle et al. 2010, Murray et al. 2014)

Accretion in the Tidal Environment

• Hill radius vs physical radius

(Ohtsuki 1993, Canup & Esposito 1995, Ohtsuki et al. 2013)



• Accretion of porous particles onto dense cores (*Porco et al. 2007*)





• Density of an aggregate filling its Hill sphere (Porco et al. 2007; Tiscareno et al. 2013)

$$\rho_{Roche} = \frac{3M_p}{\gamma a_{orbital}^3}$$

• Critical radial distance for gravitational accretion (Kaljalainen & Salo 2004)

> For identical particles with density 0.9gcm⁻³: temporary aggregates form in the inner A ring stable aggregates form in the outer A ring

• Critical bulk density of aggregates for tidal disruption (Leinhardt et al. 2012)

$$\rho_{tidal} = \frac{7.7M_p}{\pi a_{orbital}^3}$$









Where is the inner boundary of radial locations for gravitational accretion of particles?

Moonlets in Saturn's rings

• "Propeller moons" in the A & B rings

(e.g., Tiscareno et al. 2006, Sremčević et al. 2012)

• "Large boulders" in the C ring and the Cassini Division (Baillié et al. 2013)

Origins of these small bodies?

(e.g., Charnoz et al. 2007)

• Accretion in thick rings:

Accretion in thin rings:



Numerical Methods

Local N-body simulation

- A moonlet core is placed at the origin of the coordinate system
- Unperturbed, fresh particles are continuously added to the simulation cell
- Collision and gravitational interactions are taken into account
- Particles leaving the cell are removed

Results: Complete coverage of moonlet surface





 $\begin{array}{l} a = 1.3 \times 10^5 \ {\rm km} \\ \rho_{core} = 0.9 \ {\rm g \ cm^{-3}}, \ \rho_p = 0.4 \ {\rm g \ cm^{-3}} \\ \tau = \ 0.01, \ \varepsilon_n = 0.5 \end{array}$



Results: Partial coverage of moonlet surface





 $\begin{aligned} &a = 1.15 \times 10^5 \text{ km} \\ &\rho_{core} = 0.9 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, \, \rho_p = 0.9 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \\ &\tau = \ 0.01, \, \varepsilon_n = 0.5 \end{aligned}$



Dependence on the Distance from Saturn



Gravitational accretion is negligible in the C ring

 $H = 2R_{core}$



Are thick rings necessary to form Hill-sphere shaped bodies?









$$a = 130,000 \ km$$

 $\rho_{core} = 0.9 \ g \ cm^{-3}$
 $\rho_{p} = 0.4 \ g \ cm^{-3}$
 $\tau = 0.1$





Distance from Saturn

Shapes of the moons in the outer regions may reflect ring thickness at the time of accretion

Conclusions

- Gravitational accretion of particles onto moonlet cores is negligible in the C ring
 - → large boulders that create "transparent holes" were not formed locally by gravitational accretion
- Particles can accrete onto high-latitude regions of core surfaces even when ring thickness is smaller than the core's radius
 - rings were not necessarily dynamically hot when these small moons were formed
 - \rightarrow shapes of the moons near the ring outer edge may reflect ring thickness at the time of accretion