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Past Missions

## Project Mercury and Gemini

## Project Mercury

- 1959-1963
- Goal of putting a person into orbit and returning them safely
- $\$ 277$ million in 1965 -> $\$ 2.3$ billion today
- 6 piloted missions -> \$383 million per flight


## Project Gemini

- 1962-1967
- $\$ 1.3$ billion in 1967 -> \$10 billion today
- 10 piloted missions -> \$1 billion per flight
- Each Gemini mission was roughly twice as expensive as a Mercury mission
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GDP by Industry
Finance remained the nation's top industry in 2013, while government was no. 2 despite efforts to roll back spending.

## The Apollo Program

- 1961-1972
- In 1973, NASA reported a cost of $\$ 25.4$ billion to congress - $\quad$ > $\$ 146.9$ billion today
- 11 piloted missions -> $\$ 13.4$ billion per mission
- Only 6 landings $->\$ 24.5$ billion per landing
- An Apollo-type effort would take up 3.6\% of the 2017 US GDP
original \$ Adjusted 2019 \$ Relative GDP \$

| Spacecraft | 8.1 billion | 81.3 billion | $\mathbf{1 9 4 . 8}$ billion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Launch Vehicles | 9.4 billion | 99.0 billion | 243.4 billion |
| Development \& Operations | 3.1 billion | 28.7 billion | $\mathbf{6 6 . 9}$ billion |
| Direct costs | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ billion | $\mathbf{2 0 9 . 0}$ billion | $\mathbf{5 0 5 . 2}$ billion |
| Construction of Facilities, Salaries, \& | Overhead 5.2 billion | 54.8 billion | $\mathbf{1 3 6 . 2}$ billion |
| Total Apollo | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8}$ billion | $\mathbf{2 6 3 . 8}$ billion | $\mathbf{6 4 1 . 4}$ billion |
| Robotic Lunar Program | 907.0 million 10.3 billion | 26.1 billion |  |
| Project Gemini | 1.3 billion | 14.1 billion | 34.8 billion |
| Total Lunar Effort | $\mathbf{2 8 . 0}$ billion | $\mathbf{2 8 8 . 1}$ billion | $\mathbf{7 0 2 . 3}$ billion |

Table 2. Costs of the Apollo lunar effort, adjusted for inflation to 2019 dollars using the NNSI and relative GDP share. Detailed numbers available in the source data. Source data.

## The Apollo Program



Figure 1. Project Apollo and related programs obligations per year, measured against total NASA obligations for fiscal years 1960-1973. All amounts adjusted for inflation to 2019 dollars using NASA's New Start Index (NNSI).


Figure 2. Cost of Project Apollo and related programs, by major sub-program, for fiscal years 1960 to 1973. All amounts adjusted for inflation to 2019 dollars using NASA's New Start Index (NNSI). Source data.

## The Shuttle Program

- 1972-2011
- Total Cost: \$224 billion
- 135 flights -> \$1.7 billion per flight
- 1973 budget estimates (in 2019 dollars)
- $\$ 49$ billion in development costs
- \$10.6 million per flight
- According to NASA, the actual cost in 2011 per flight was roughly $\$ 500$ million
- Why so expensive?
- Final design was $20 \%$ heavier than the original concept
- Large maintenance costs on the thermal protection tiles
- Less launches per year than originally planned
- 12 flights per year planned; average was $4.5 / \mathrm{yr}$
- Much higher cost per launch


## The International Space Station

- 1985-present
- NASA budgeted $\sim \$ 85$ billion through 2015
- International partners also contributed
- Russia: \$ 14.1 billion
- Europe and Japan: \$11.8 billion
- Canada: \$2.4 billion
- 36 shuttle flights needed to build the station
- Roughly \$1.6 billion each -> $\$ 57.6$ billion
- Total Cost (through 2015): ~\$171 billion

U.S. Piloted Programs Funding, 1959-2015 (2010\$)


- From 1959-2015, \$572 pillion dollars spent on piloted programs
- The total GDP over that time is roughly \$590 trillion

Current Missions

## How are missions scoped at NASA?

NASA's Science Mission Directorate (SMD) is responsible for funding robotic, science-focused missions

Four divisions:

- Astrophysics
- Heliophysics
- Earth Science
- Planetary Science


## Directed vs. Competed Missions

The biggest scale and most expensive robotic missions NASA operates are the large strategic science missions (aka Flagship missions)

These missions are found across all four divisions of the SMD, and are assigned to a specific institution (usually a NASA center)

Smaller scale robotic missions tend to be competed, or PI-driven, meaning that NASA provides funding calls periodically with a certain amount of budget, and selects missions proposed by PI-led teams that fall within those budgetary constraints


## Current Classes of PI-Led Missions in the Planetary Science Division

- Discovery program
- Roughly offered once every two years
- Cost-cap of $\sim \$ 450$ million (excluding launch + post-launch costs)
- Examples: Mars Pathfinder, Dawn, InSight, Psyche
- New Frontiers program
- Roughly offered twice a decade
- Cost-cap of $\sim \$ 850$ million (excluding launch + post-launch costs)
- Examples: New Horizons, Juno, OSIRIS-REx, Dragonfly


## Decadal Survey

- In the Decadal Surveys (used to direct NASA's science mission priorities over the next decade), detailed cost estimates are performed both by the project team itself and an independent auditor (guess which number is usually higher)
- These costs inform whether science goals could be accomplished within a PI-led category, or if they need a specially funded flagship mission



## Budgetary Deep Dive:

Flagship Mission (Mars Science Laboratory)


## NASA Mission Lifecycle



## Project Cost Summary

| Mission Phase | Budget Allocation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formulation (Phases A \& B) | $\$ 515.1 \mathrm{M}$ planned, $\$ 515.5 \mathrm{M}$ actual |
| Development (Phases C \& D) | $\$ 968.6 \mathrm{M}$ planned, $\$ 1,802.0 \mathrm{M}$ actual |
| Operations (Phase E) | $\$ 158.5 \mathrm{M}$ planned, $\$ 158.8 \mathrm{M}$ actual |
| Life-Cycle Cost <br> (Primary Mission) | $\$ 1,642.2 \mathrm{M}$ planned, $\$ 2,476.3 \mathrm{M}$ actual |

Budget overage due to unforeseen problems + missed launch window (thought to directly contribute $\sim \$ 137 \mathrm{M}$ to total mission cost)

A Wide Array of Instruments

| Instrument | Subcontractor |
| :--- | :--- |
| MastCam | Malin Space Science Systems |
| ChemCam | Los Alamos National Laboratory |
| MAHLI | Malin Space Science Systems |
| APXS | Canadian Space Agency |
| CheMin | NASA Ames |
| SAM | NASA Goddard |
| RAD | Southwest Research Institute |
| MARDI | Rulin Space Science Systems |
| DAN | Spanish Space Agency |
| REMS | Space Agency |

## And a Wide Array of Subsystems

| Subsystem/Task | Subcontractor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Propulsion | In House (JPL) |
| Thermal | In House (JPL) |
| Telecom | In House (JPL) |
| Mechanical | In House (JPL) |
| Sample Acquisition/Sample <br> Processing and Handling | In House (JPL) |
| Avionics | In House (JPL) |
| Launch Vehicle | United Launch Alliance |
| Flight Software | In House (JPL) |

## And a Wide Array of Subsystems

| Subsystem/Task | Subcontractor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assembly, Test \& Launch <br> Operations | In House (JPL) |
| Guidance, Navigation \& Control | In House (JPL) |
| Launch Operations | NASA Kennedy |
| Multi-Mission Radioisotope <br> Thermoelectric Generator | U.S. Department of Energy |

## Extended Missions

Curiosity is currently in its 2nd extended mission

Primary mission: 2 years
Extended missions add to the mission cost ( $\sim \$ 50 \mathrm{M}$ per year in operations costs), but if a Flagship can still gather science, NASA will almost always fund it


## Budgetary Deep Dive:

Discovery Mission (Mars InSight)


## Competition for Discovery 12

- 28 proposals submitted for the Discovery Program's 12th call
- Downselected to 3 - each awarded $\$ 3 \mathrm{M}$ for pre-phase A studies
- InSight - P.I. Bruce Banerdt, NASA JPL
- TiME - P.I. Ellen Stofan, Johns Hopkins APL
- Comet Hopper - P.I. Jessica Sunshine, NASA Goddard
- InSight selected in August 2012 with cost cap of $\$ 425 \mathrm{M}$ (not including launch vehicle or operations costs) - scheduled for launch in 2016


## Subcontractors

- Unlike Curiosity, JPL would not be handling the construction of the spacecraft bus in-house
- Lockheed Martin Space Systems would manufacture InSight, using legacy design from the Phoenix lander to reduce risk/cost
- Instruments provided by German and French Space Agencies



## The Final Cost

- Cost cap of \$425M
- Estimated total cost of \$675M (including Atlas V 401 launch + operations costs)
- Due to a persistent vacuum failure in the SEIS instrument (built by CNES), launch was delayed from 2016 to 2018
- This was associated with a cost overrun of roughly $\$ 150 \mathrm{M}$, leading to a final price tag of \$825M

Future Missions

## NASA's budget breakdown at present

Total 2019: $\$ 21.5$ billion
Human spaceflight: \$9.8 billion
Science: $\$ 6.4$ billion

Consider:
What size budget would NASA need for Artemis or a human

Mars mission?

## When budgets balloon

## Mars 2020 Rover Cost Could Starve Other Red Planet Missions

By Elizabeth Howell 12 days ago Spaceflight
Growing costs could affect other programs.



## Artemis: Initial budget estimates

- \$20-30 billion in total (not including Space Launch System, Orion command module)
- \$4-6 billion per year IN EXCESS of other NASA budget
- Only \$1.6 billion extra requested for 2020
- Context:
- Apollo program: \$136 billion (today's \$)
- Each Apollo: \$22.6 billion (today's \$)


## Artemis Phase 1: To The Lunar Surface by 2024

Artemis I: First human spacecraft to the Moon

Artemis Support Mission: First pressurized
Artemis II: First humans to orbit the Moon in the 21st century in the 21st century

Artemis Support Mission: First high-power Solar Electric Propulsion (SEP) system
module delivered to Gateway

Artemis Support Mission: Human Landing System delivered to Gateway .

Early South Pole Mission(s)

- First robotic landing on eventual human lunar return and In-Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU) site - First ground truth of polar crater volatiles

Artemis.II:
Crewed mission to Gateway and lunar surface


ज5se $\theta$. .

## Artemis Phase 2: Building Capabilities For Mars Missions



SUSTAINABLE LUNAR ORBIT STAGNG GAPABILITY AND SURFAGE EXPLORATION

## Fermi Problem Activity: Mars Mission Budget

Mars Mission Design Reference Architecture 5.0:

1. 2 cargo Mars Transfer Vehicles (MTV)
2. 1 crew MTV
3. In Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU) unit
4. Habitats
5. Mars Ascent Vehicle (MAV)

Mission basics:

- 7 year timespan
- Astronauts return to Earth alive
- $\sim 400 \mathrm{~kg}$ sample returned

Helpful numbers (today's \$):

- Each Apollo mission: $\$ 22$ billion
- Entire Apollo program: \$136 billion
- ISS: $\$ 100$ billion


## Estimate the cost of this mission! <br> (Order of magnitude)

## Mars Mission cost: McNutt \& Delamere 2017

## $\$ 1$ trillion ( $\pm$ a bit) (50x current NASA budget)

How?

1. Approximation based on ISS cost, mass, use
2. Scaling up from Apollo missions

Why?

- Mass
- Fuel and storage of fuel
- Orbital and solar cycles
- Reusable hardware
- Radiation shielding
- No resupply option
- Food/water/waste recycling
- Crew health maintenance
- Social changes


## In perspective:

Cumulative NASA budget $\cong \$ 1.6$ trillion

(Integrated by eye)
Total =
\$25 billion * (2013-1959) + $1 / 2 *(1971-1962) * \$ 35$ billion

Takeaway: Sending humans to Mars is an expensive and hard problem. NASA cannot pick up the whole tab without support.

## Summary

Past missions

- Going to space is expensive especially when you have infrastructure to build and new technologies to develop

Current missions

- Spacecraft are complicated and almost always cost more than you initially project

Future missions

- Budgets are really hard to project. Artemis and human Mars mission could be vulnerable to
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